

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.SCEOC.ORG

843-559-6418

843-937-6319

843-760-2635

8

GOOD

Z

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress	
2001	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A	
2002	Average	Good	N/A	
2003	Below Average	Below Average	No	
2004	Below Average	Good	No	

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

PERCENT OF STUDENT RECORDS MATCHED FOR PURPOSES OF COMPUTING IMPROVEMENT RATING

Percent of students tested in 2003-04 whose 2002-03 test scores were located.

92.8%

English/Language Arts

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT)

Our School Middle Schools with Students like Ours

Mathematics

Definition of Critical Terms

English/Language Arts

Mathematics

Advanced	Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations
Proficient	Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations
Basic	Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level
Below Basic	Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP									
	Enrollment 1st	/	/ %	1	/ °`	/	% Proficient and	Performance Objective	Participation Objective
	sh/Langua						00.0		
All Students	317	99.7	35.7	52.3	11.7	0.4	23.3	Yes	Yes
Gender	140	00.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	00.0		
Male	149 168	99.3	43.8 28.8	46.9 56.9	9.2 13.7	0.0 0.7	20.0 26.1		
Female Racial/Ethnic Group	100	100.0	20.0	56.9	13.7	0.7	20.1		
White	40	100.0	18.8	71.9	9.4	0.0	34.4	I/S	I/S
African-American	252	99.6	37.5	50.4	11.6	0.0	22.4	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	99.0 N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	25	100.0	42.1	42.1	15.8	0.0	15.8	1/S	1/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	1/5	1/0
Not Disabled	268	99.6	32.9	54.6	12.1	0.4	24.6		
Disabled	49	100.0	51.2	39.5	9.3	0.0	16.3	I/S	Yes
Migrant Status		100.0	0.1.2	00.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	., 0	. 00
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	317	99.7	35.7	52.3	11.7	0.4	23.3		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	310	99.7	35.3	52.5	11.9	0.4	23.7		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	267	99.6	35.0	53.3	11.4	0.4	22.8	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	50	100.0	40.5	45.9	13.5	0.0	27.0		

M	Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 15.5%								
All Students	317	99.7	34.3	47.3	13.8	4.6	29.0	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	149	99.3	39.2	43.8	11.5	5.4	25.4		
Female	168	100.0	30.1	50.3	15.7	3.9	32.0		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	40	100.0	15.6	59.4	21.9	3.1	37.5	I/S	I/S
African American	252	99.6	37.9	45.3	12.1	4.7	25.9	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	25	100.0	21.1	52.6	21.1	5.3	52.6	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	268	99.6	32.5	45.8	16.3	5.4	33.3		
Disabled	49	100.0	44.2	55.8	0.0	0.0	4.7	I/S	Yes
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	317	99.7	34.3	47.3	13.8	4.6	29.0		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	310	99.7	34.9	46.8	13.7	4.7	28.4		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	267	99.6	33.7	48.0	13.0	5.3	29.3	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	50	100.0	37.8	43.2	18.9	0.0	27.0		

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

That Cap Miladio								
PACT PERFO	IRMANCE	E BY GR	ADE LE	VEL				
	Enrollment 1≈ Day of Testing		% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	/
		Englis	sh/Langu	age Arts				
Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 6	90	100.0	40.7	46.9	9.9	2.5	12.3	
Grade 7	112	99.1	54.5	39.6	5.9	N/A	5.9	
Grade 8	105	100.0	46.5	49.5	4.0	N/A	4.0	
Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 6	100	100.0	42.3	43.3	13.4	1.0	14.4	
Grade 7	99	100.0	28.0	60.2	10.8	1.1	11.8	
Grade 8	119	99.2	36.4	53.6	9.1	0.9	10.0	

			Mathemat	ics			•
Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 6	90	100.0	30.9	38.3	24.7	6.2	30.9
Grade 7	112	100.0	48.0	41.2	10.8	N/A	10.8
Grade 8	105	100.0	44.6	49.5	5.0	1.0	5.9
Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 6	100	100.0	28.9	42.3	18.6	10.3	28.9
Grade 7	99	100.0	35.5	43.0	16.1	5.4	21.5
Grade 8	119	99.2	40.0	52.7	6.4	0.9	7.3

Haut Gap Middle 10	01044

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 317)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	11.2%	Down from 50.8%	9.2%	14.6%
Retention rate	2.5%	N/A	4.4%	3.0%
Attendance rate	94.0%	Up from 93.2%	95.3%	95.9%
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (ELA) off grade level	10.1%		8.6%	5.7%
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level	10.1%		7.8%	5.3%
Eligible for gifted and talented	7.7%	Up from 4.4%	7.0%	14.3%
On academic plans	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/AV
On academic probation	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/AV
With disabilities other than speech	16.0%	Down from 17.7%	14.9%	13.9%
Older than usual for grade	11.4%	Down from 37.0%	7.6%	4.2%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	8.8%	Up from 6.2%	1.5%	0.9%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 23)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	47.8%	No change	47.8%	48.7%
Continuing contract teachers	78.3%	No change	72.5%	81.7%
Highly qualified teachers**	84.6%	N/A	88.6%	90.4%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	27.3%		10.7%	5.3%
Teachers returning from previous year	80.6%	Up from 78.7%	77.9%	85.1%
Teacher attendance rate	95.0%	Down from 97.2%	94.2%	94.8%
Average teacher salary	\$40,792	Down 0.7%	\$39,245	\$40,566
Prof. development days/teacher	20.8 days	Up from 20.0 days	11.9 days	11.0 days
School				
Principal's years at school	16.0	Up from 15.0	2.0	3.3 21.3 to 1
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	20.9 to 1	Up from 17.4 to 1	18.9 to 1	
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	87.4% \$7,236	Down from 89.0% Up 10.4%	88.1% \$6,551	89.3% \$5,821
	. ,	•	. ,	. ,
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	62.1% Good	Up from 60.7% No change	60.0% Good	61.8% Good
**		•		
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.0% No	No change No change	87.6% Yes	95.0% Yes
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Excellent	N/A	Average	Good
		Our District		ate
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty		88.1%		.0%
Highly qualified teachers in high povert	y schools**	87.8%		.1%
		State Objectiv		Objective
Highly qualified teachers in this school*	*	65.0%		es
Student attendance in this school 95.3% No				
**NOTE: The verification process was not completed	for the year ren	orted: therefore the count of h	ighly qualified teachers r	nay not be accura

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Haut Gap Middle School has focused on the "Magic of Success" this year through improving academic achievement, increasing emphasis on instructional improvement through the Accelerated Schools Program, and establishing a caring community. The school received a Comprehensive Reform Grant for the next three years to implement the Accelerated Schools model. This will help us further develop successful teaching strategies with an emphasis on hands on learning and accelerating all students.

Haut Gap Middle School serves 311 students in grades 6 through 8 from the rural areas of Johns and Wadmalaw Islands and the resort islands of Kiawah and Seabrook. The ethnic population of the school is approximately 82% African-American, 10% Caucasian, and 8% Hispanic and other groups. Over 90% of the students participate in the free and reduced lunch program. A comprehensive needs assessment reveals that, while PACT results in math have shown gains, 41.9% of the students are still below basic in math and 47.7% are not meeting standards in English Language Arts. The 2003 baseline scores on the state science and social studies assessments indicate that more than 50% did not meet standards in those content areas. Various surveys conducted during the year indicate a lack of student and teacher satisfaction with the learning environment and the emphasis on seatwork and teacher centered instruction. Faculty and staff indicate the need to improve skills to work with low achieving, disabled, and English as Second Language (ESL) students. All groups surveyed indicated that school climate, student behavior, and parental involvement were areas of concern. Through our work with the Accelerated Schools model, we envision enrichment in teaching strategies, teacher empowerment, differentiated learning, and increased parental involvement.

Currently, to meet the needs and concerns of the school population, Haut Gap Middle School participates in the Foundation for Excellent Schools, Communities in Schools, and Gear-Up and offers an after school center. These programs provide for additional assistance for students and focus on improving student performance in math and reading through a variety of instructional approaches. Through a community partnership, Our Lady of Mercy sponsors Cultural Experience—our drum, dance, and discipline project.

As we move forward under the Magic of Excellence, we are proud to note that our students were winners in the Lt. Governor's Writing Contest, Junior Scholars Program, and Optimist Oratorical Competition. Our students have continued to be successful in competitions and to be involved in motivating and career-orientated activities such as AIM, FES, and Groundhog Shadowing Day. We have continued our recognition as a Community of Readers Award Winner and have been identified as a Healthy School Community by the State Department of Education. We want to continue through the world of academics and technology to Motivate, Achieve, Give, and Care-The Magic of Excellence.

Byron Johnson, SIC

Roberta M. Frasier, Principal

	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	26	95	81
Percent satisfied with learning environment	69.2%	51.6%	71.8%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	61.5%	65.3%	80.8%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	50.0%	77.9%	59.7%
*Only students at the highest middle school grade level at this school and their no	arents were includ	led	

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHEDS, STUDENTS, AND BABENTS